1. Introduction

The worldwide demand for energy in the 21st century is growing at an alarming rate. The European “World Energy Technology and Climate Policy Outlook” [WETO] predicts an average growth rate of 1.8% per annum for the period 2000-2030 for the world energy demand (European Commission, 2003). The increased demand is being met largely by reserves of fossil fuel that emit both greenhouse gases and other pollutants. Since the rate of fossil fuel consumption is higher than the rate of fossil fuel production by nature, these reserves are diminishing and they will become increasingly expensive.

Against this background, the transition towards a sustainable, carbon-free and reliable energy system capable of meeting the increasing energy demands becomes imperative. Renewable energy resources, such as wind, solar, water, wave or geothermal, can offer clean alternatives to fossil fuels. Despite of their obvious advantages renewable energy sources have also some drawbacks in their use because they are unevenly distributed both over time and geographically. Most countries will need to integrate several different energy sources and an advanced energy storage system needs to be developed.

1.1 Hydrogen storage: A brief overview

Hydrogen has also attracted intensive attention as the most promising secure energy carrier of the future (Jain, 2009) due to its prominent advantages such as being:

1. Environmentally friendly. It is a “clean, green” fuel because when it burns in oxygen there is no pollutants release, only heat and water are generated:

\[ 2\text{H}_2 (g) + \text{O}_2 (g) \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (g), \Delta H = 120 \text{ kJ/g H}_2 \quad (1) \]

2. Easy to produce. Hydrogen is the most abundant element in the Universe and is found in great abundance in the world, allowing it to be produced locally and easily from a great variety of sources like water, biomass and organic matter;

3. Light. Hydrogen is the Nature’s simplest and lightest element with only one proton and one electron with high energy per unit mass.
Nonetheless, opposing to the advantages of hydrogen as an energy carrier is the difficulty in storing it. Hydrogen storage remains a problem, in particular for mobile/vehicular applications (Felderhoff et al., 2007). High-pressure hydrogen gas requires very large volumes compared to petrol, for producing the same amount of energy. On the other hand, liquid hydrogen storage systems are not viable for vehicular applications due to safety concerns in addition to volumetric constraints. Thus, hydrogen storage viability has prompted an extensive effort to develop solid hydrogen storage systems but no fully satisfactory solutions have been achieved to date (Churchard et al., 2011).

The goal is to find a material capable of simultaneously absorbing hydrogen strongly enough to form a stable thermodynamic state, but weakly enough to release it on-demand with a small temperature rise (Jeon et al., 2011) in a safe, compact, robust, and efficient manner. There have been many materials under development for solid hydrogen storage, including metal hydrides (MH\textsubscript{x}), via the chemical reaction of \( \text{H}_2 \) with a metal or metal alloy (M):

\[
\frac{x}{2} \text{H}_2 (g) + M (s) \rightleftharpoons \text{MH}_x (s)
\]

Generally, a typical hydriding reaction is known to involve several steps: (1) gas permeation through the particle bed, (2) surface adsorption and hydrogen dissociation, (3) migration of hydrogen atoms from the surface into the bulk, (4) diffusion through the particle and finally (5) nucleation and growth of the hydride phase. Any delay in one of these steps will reduce the kinetic properties of the process (Schimmel et al., 2005).

### 1.2 Magnesium hydride

Magnesium-based hydrogen storage alloys have been considered most promising solid hydrogen storage materials due to their high gravimetric hydrogen storage densities and volumetric capacity (see Table 1 adapted from (Chen & Zhu, 2008) for comparison) associated to the fact that magnesium is abundant in the earth’s crust, non toxic and cheap (Grochala & Edwards, 2004; Jain et al., 2010; Schlapbach & Züttel, 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Hydrides</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>( P_{eq} ), T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{LaNi}_5 )</td>
<td>( \text{LaNi}_5\text{H}_6 )</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2 bar, 298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaNi}_3 )</td>
<td>( \text{CaNi}<em>3\text{H}</em>{4.4} )</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5 bar, 298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ZrV}_2 )</td>
<td>( \text{ZrV}<em>2\text{H}</em>{5.5} )</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>( 10^{-8} ) bar, 323 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TiFe} )</td>
<td>( \text{TiFeH}_{1.8} )</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5 bar, 303 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}_2\text{Ni} )</td>
<td>( \text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4 )</td>
<td>Monoclinic (LT) / Cubic (HT)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1 bar, 555 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-V-based</td>
<td>Ti-V-based-H(_4)</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1 bar, 298 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>MgH(_2)</td>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1 bar, 573 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. Structure and hydrogen storage properties of typical metal hydrides*

Magnesium can be transformed in a single step to MgH\(_2\) hydride with up to 7.6 wt% of hydrogen with a volumetric storage efficiency of 110 g H\(_2\)/l (Milanese et al., 2010a), according to:
Mg (s) + H\(_2\) (g) ⇔ MgH\(_2\) (s)  

(3)

Magnesium metal is hexagonal with P\(_{6}3/mmc\) space group (α-structure) but the absorption of hydrogen induces a structural change into the tetragonal rutile-type structure α-MgH\(_2\) (P\(_{4}2_{1}/mmm\)) (Aguey-Zinsou & Ares-Fernández, 2010) (see Fig. 1).

At high temperature and pressure, the latter phase undergoes polymorphic transformations to form two modifications: γ-MgH\(_2\) and β-MgH\(_2\), having an orthorhombic structure and a hexagonal structure, respectively (Schlapbach & Züttel, 2001). Other high-pressure metastable phases have also been reported (Cui et al., 2008; Ravindran et al., 2004). The charge density distribution in these materials has also been investigated and revealed a strong ionic character. The charge density determination of MgH\(_2\) by means of synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction at room temperature, the maximum entropy method (MEM) and Rietveld refinement revealed that the ionic charge of Mg and H can be expressed by Mg\^{1.91+}\ and H\^{0.26}\, respectively, denoting that Mg in MgH\(_2\) is fully ionized, but the H atoms are in a weak ionic state (Noritake et al., 2003). The high strength of these bonds results however in an unacceptably high thermodynamic stability which diminishes the potentialities of using MgH\(_2\) in practical applications. The hydrogen desorption temperature is well above 573 K, which is related to its high dissociation enthalpy (75 kJ/mol H\(_2\)) under standard conditions of pressure (Schlapbach & Züttel, 2001). In addition, the high directionality of the ionic bonds in this system leads to large activation barriers for atomic motion, resulting in slow hydrogen sorption kinetics (Vajo & Olson, 2007).

Several solutions were envisaged to circumvent these drawbacks. They can be accomplished to some extent by changing the microstructure of the hydride by ball-milling it (Huot et al., 1999; Zaluski et al., 1997). In this process the material is heavily deformed, and crystal defects such as dislocations, stacking faults, vacancies are introduced combined with an increased number of grain boundaries, which enhance the diffusivity of hydrogen into and out of the material (Suryanarayana, 2008). Alloying the system with other metallic additives, like 3d elements (Ti, Fe, Ni, Cu or Al), or LaNi\(_5\), FeTi, Pd, V among others and oxides like V\(_2\)O\(_5\) or Nb\(_2\)O\(_5\) can also be a way of improving kinetic and/or thermodynamic properties by changing the chemical interaction between the atoms (Reule et al., 2000; Rude et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2011a). The use of a proper destabilization or catalyst element/alloy into the system has also been shown to improve adsorption/desorption kinetics and to lower the adsorption temperature (Beattie et al., 2011). Furthermore, substantial improvements in the hydriding-dehydriding properties can be achieved by nanoengineering approaches using nanosized reactants or by nanoconfinement of it (Jeon et al., 2011; Jurczyk et al., 2011; Vajo, 2011; Zaluska...
et al., 1999a; Zhao-Karger et al., 2010). The latter allows shorter diffusion distances and larger surface area, resulting in faster reaction kinetics. It can also introduce alternative mechanisms to hydrogen exchange modifying the thermodynamic stability of the process.

As previously referred, an alternative approach for altering the thermodynamics of hydrogenation-dehydrogenation is achieved by using additives that promote hydride destabilization by alloy or compound formation in the dehydrogenated state. This approach is known as chemical destabilization. The principle underlying this approach is that the additives are capable to form compounds or alloys in the dehydrogenated state that are energetically favourable with respect to the products of the reaction without additives. Destabilization occurs because the system can cycle between the hydride and the additive instead of the elemental metal. A generalized enthalpy diagram illustrating this approach - destabilization of the generic hydride $\text{AH}_2$ through alloy formation ($\text{AB}_x$) promoted by the presence of the alloying species $B$ - was given by Vajo and Olson (Vajo & Olson, 2007), and is shown in Fig. 2.

![Generalized enthalpy diagram illustrating destabilization through alloy formation upon dehydrogenation](adapted from Vajo & Olson, 2007)

### 1.3 Cu-Mg, Ni-Mg and other MgH$_2$ destabilizing systems

The work of Reilly and Wiswall provided the first evidences of this concept (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967, 1968). In their work, they showed that MgH$_2$ can be destabilized by Cu$_2$Mg. The formation of CuMg$_2$ occurs upon dehydrogenation at lower reaction temperatures than those obtained with just pure MgH$_2$. The compound CuMg$_2$ crystallizes in the orthorhombic structure (Braga et al., 2010c) and has a hydrogen capacity of 2.6 wt. % at 573 K (Jurczyk et al., 2007). The hydride formation enthalpy is approximately 5 kJ/mol H$_2$ lower than that of the hydrogenation of MgH$_2$ from Mg and this process obeys to the following scheme (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967):

$$2\text{CuMg}_2 (s) + 3\text{H}_2 (g) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{MgH}_2 (s) + \text{Cu}_2\text{Mg} (s)$$ (4)

The intermetallic cubic compound Cu$_3$Mg does not hydrogenate under conventional hydrogenation conditions and seems to improve dehydrogenation kinetics (as compared to MgH$_2$) due to improve resistance towards oxygen contamination (Andreasen et al., 2006; Kalinichenka et al., 2011; Reilly & Wiswall, 1967). As to the hexagonal intermetallic compound NiMg$_2$, Reilly and Wiswall (Reilly & Wiswall, 1968) established that it reversibly reacts with hydrogen to form a ternary hydride Mg$_2$NiH$_4$, with a hydrogen content of 3.6 wt. %, according to the following scheme:
\[ \text{NiMg}_2 (s) + 2\text{H}_2 (g) \rightleftharpoons \text{NiMg}_2\text{H}_4 (s) \] (5)

Results obtained by A. Zaluska and co-workers (Zaluska et al., 1999b) showed that ball-milling the mixtures MgH\(_2\) and NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\) results in a synergetic effect of desorption, allowing the mixture to operate at temperatures as low as 493 K – 513 K, with good absorption / desorption kinetics and with total hydrogen capacity exceeding 5 wt.%. They point out however that the ball-milled mixtures of the hydrides behave differently from two metal phases that are firstly ball-milled and then hydrogenated. In the latter case volume changes occur during hydrogenation with associated volume expansion of the material, in contrast to what happen in their study in which NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\) promoted the hydrogen release from an adjacent MgH\(_2\) matrix since they undergo a significant volume contraction, which facilitates their dehydrogenation.

Many more studies have focused on changes in the hydriding/dehydriding properties of Ni-Mg binary alloys with compositional changes and changes in processing variables. Nonetheless, we highlight the study of C. D. Yim and collaborators (Yim et al., 2007) that showed that the NiMg\(_2\) compound acted as a catalyst in the dissociation of the hydrogen molecule, which resulted in a faster nucleation of magnesium hydride compared to pure Mg. It revealed also that the capacity and kinetics of hydriding were larger and faster when the average size of the hydriding phase was smaller and the volume fraction of the phase boundary was larger, since phase boundaries between the eutectic α-Mg and NiMg\(_2\) phases acted as a fast diffusion path for atomic hydrogen.

In the full hydrogenated state, the NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\) structure consists of tetrahedral \([\text{NiH}_4]^4\)-complexes in a framework of magnesium ions and two different forms exist, high-temperature (HT) and low-temperature (LT). Under the partial pressure of 1 atm of hydrogen, the HT cubic structure phase transforms into a LT monoclinically distorted structure between 518 and 483 K (Zhang et al., 2009). The LT phase has also two modifications the untwinned (LT1) and micro-twinned (LT2), which depend on the thermomechanical history of the sample (Cermak & David, 2011). The hydride formation enthalpy for the NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\) has been determined experimentally for the HT form, and it is in the range from -64.3 to -69.3 kJ/mol H\(_2\), for the LT form this value ranges from -68.6 to -81.0 kJ/mol H\(_2\) (Tan et al., 2011b).

In the pioneer work of Reilly and Wiswall (Reilly & Wiswall, 1968) it was pointed out the catalytical effect of NiMg\(_2\) on the hydrogen desorption characteristics of MgH\(_2\). Recently, Cermak and David (Cermak & David, 2011) showed that NiMg\(_2\) and more efficiently the LT1 phase of NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\), were responsible for the catalytic effect of Ni reported in the literature. The fact that NiMg\(_2\) is a metal whereas NiMg\(_2\text{H}_4\) behaves like a semiconductor has opened the way to the possibility of using this system also as a switchable mirror upon hydrogenation and dehydrogenation (Setten et al., 2007). A switchable mirror will switch from mirror to transparent material upon hydrogenation. A more detailed study of Ni-Mg-based hydrides can be found in (Orimo & Fujii, 2001).

Despite all the interest and extensive research on the above referred systems, a problem still remains; the hydrogen holding capacities of these materials are considerably less than that of MgH\(_2\) (Sabitu et al., 2010). A way to overcome this limitation was found by combining MgH\(_2\) with LiBH\(_4\) (which involves the formation of MgB\(_2\) and Li-Mg alloy (Yu et al., 2006)) since pure LiBH\(_4\) has high gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen densities, 18.5 wt. % and
121 kg H$_2$/m$^3$, respectively (Bösenberg et al., 2010; Xia et al., 2011). However, although the reaction enthalpy is lowered and the hydrogen storage capacity increases (10.5 wt. %), the sorption and absorption processes occurs at high temperatures with relatively slow kinetic even though more additives are being tested in order to overcome this problem (Fernández et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2011). Alternatively, the study of the destabilization of MgH$_2$ with TiH$_2$ has also been taken experimentally (Choi et al., 2008; Sohn et al., 2011). Observations point to a substantially reduced apparent activation energy of 107-118 kJ/mol and significantly faster kinetics, compared with the 226 kJ/mol for the similarly milled MgH$_2$. The latter system constitutes a promising material to be used in practical applications for hydrogen storage.

The combined destabilization effect of Ni-Mg and Cu-Mg intermetallics towards MgH$_2$ was also tested and the Mg-rich ternary Cu-Ni-Mg alloys were recognized to have high potential for solid state hydrogen storage and have attracted many research interests. The study recently reported by Tan and co-workers (Tan et al., 2011b) elucidates about the influence of Cu substitution on the hydrogen sorption properties of magnesium rich Ni-Mg films. This study shows a two-step hydrogen absorption process. The first step is due to the absorption of Mg not alloyed in the form of NiMg$_2$ and/or CuMg$_2$, hereafter denoted as “free Mg” and is very quick, because it is mainly catalyzed by the intermetallic phase, NiMg$_2$. But the second step, due to the hydrogen absorption of intermetallic NiMg$_2$ and/or CuMg$_2$ (“bonded Mg”) is significantly slow. The Cu substitution shows positive effects on desorption kinetics during full capacity hydrogen cycling, but shows strongly negative effects on absorption kinetics, particularly for the second absorption step, due to the segregation of CuMg$_2$ towards the grain boundaries of MgH$_2$, forming a closed shell that traps the hydrogen in MgH$_2$. The authors also reported that the Cu substitution has no thermo-destabilization for MgH$_2$, but since a significant amount can be dissolve in NiMg$_2$, even at elevated temperatures, thermo-destabilization of NiMg$_2$H$_4$ and better desorption kinetics are observed. Hong and collaborators (Hong et al., 2011) on their study on the hydrogen storage properties of x wt.% Cu-23.5 wt.% Ni-Mg (x = 2.5, 5 and 7.5) prepared by rapid solidification process and crystallization heat treatment have also reported that the NiMg$_2$ phase has higher hydriding and dehydriding rates than Mg under similar conditions and that the addition of a smaller amount of Cu is considered favourable to the enhancement of the hydriding and dehydriding rates of the sample. The 2.5 wt.% Cu-23.5 wt.% Ni-Mg alloy had the highest hydriding and dehydriding rates. These observations are in line with the ones previously reported by the group of Milanese (Milanese et al., 2010b; 2008), who also observed the high sorption capacity and good sorption performance of Cu-Ni-Mg mixtures and proposed a two steps sorption process with different kinetics. The first step corresponds to the quick hydrogenation of “free Mg”, according to reaction (3). After this step, absorption keeps on with a slower rate corresponding to the second step, hydrogenation of the “bonded Mg” phases, NiMg$_2$ and CuMg$_2$, according to reactions (4) and (5). They also showed that Ni is more effective than Cu in catalyzing the desorption reactions and that NiMg$_2$H$_4$ and Cu$_2$Mg phases destabilized each other with the beneficial effect of decreasing the dissociation temperature of about 50 K in comparison to the MgH$_2$, from “free Mg”. The positive effect of Cu as a catalyst on the hydrogenation and thermodynamic properties of NiMg$_2$ mixed by ball milling technique was also studied and recently reported by Vyas and co-workers (Vyas et al., 2011) showing that hydrogen storage capacity and enthalpy of formation of NiMg$_2$ with 10 wt.% Cu reduces to 1.81 wt.% and 26.69 kJ (mol H)$^{-1}$ from 3.56 wt.% and 54.24 kJ (mol H)$^{-1}$ for pure NiMg$_2$ at 573 K,
respectively. They attributed the decrement in the absorption capacity to the formation of the intermetallic phase \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Mg} \), which does not absorb the hydrogen but itself behaves like a catalyst. However, in the case of nanocrystalline \( \text{Cu}_{x}\text{Ni}_{108}\text{Mg}_{20} \) alloys synthesized by melt-spinning technique, it was found (Zhang et al., 2010a, 2010b) that the substitution of Ni by Cu does not change the major phase \( \text{NiMg}_2 \) although it leads to a refinement of grains with increased cell volume and the formation of a secondary phase \( \text{CuMg}_2 \). This in turn leads to a decrease of the hydride stability with a clear improvement of the hydrogen desorption capacity and kinetics of the alloys. The presence of \( \text{CuMg}_2 \) seems to act as a catalyst for the hydride-dehydride reactions of Mg and Mg-based alloys. Similar behaviour was found in \( \text{Cu}_{0.25}\text{Ni}_{0.75}\text{Mg}_2 \) and \( \text{Cu}_{0.4}\text{Ni}_{0.6}\text{Mg}_2 \) alloys that were prepared by mechanical alloying and subsequent thermal treatment (Simčić et al., 2006). The latter effect was also investigated on \( \text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{Mg}_2 \) (\( x = 0 - 1 \)) alloys by Hsu and collaborators (Hsu et al., 2010). They observed that by substituting Cu by Ni in \( \text{CuMg}_2 \), the cell volume decreased (since the radius of Cu atom is slightly larger than Ni atom) and with increasing Ni content, the effect of Ni is actually effective in \( \text{MgH}_2 \) and \( \text{Mg}_2\text{NiH}_4 \) destabilization, leading to a decrease of desorption temperature in these two phases. They also showed that substituted nickel caused the hydriding reaction because absorption kinetics and hydrogen storage capacity increased with the rise of Ni-substitution contents.

1.4 Lithium hydride

An alternative route to be considered is to explore other hydrates besides \( \text{MgH}_2 \) for solid hydrogen storage. One of most interesting is lithium hydride, because it contains 12.5 wt.% hydrogen. Nonetheless, the desorption temperature is 1183 K for an equilibrium pressure of 1 bar (Vajo et al., 2004). However, it has been shown (Chen et al., 2003) that when \( \text{LiH} \) (see Fig. 3) reacts with lithium amide (\( \text{LiNH}_2 \)) by thoroughly mixing the substances, hydrogen is released at temperatures around 423 K, with formation of lithium imide (\( \text{Li}_2\text{NH} \)) or Li-rich imide (\( \text{Li}_x\text{NH}_{3-x} \)) and lithium nitride (\( \text{Li}_3\text{N} \)) depending on the temperature and molar ratio of (\( \text{LiH}/\text{LiNH}_2 \)) according to the following schemes:

\[
\text{LiH} \text{(s)} + \text{LiNH}_2 \text{(s)} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 \text{(g)} + \text{Li}_2\text{NH} \text{(s)} \quad (6)
\]

\[
2\text{LiH} \text{(s)} + \text{LiNH}_2 \text{(s)} \rightarrow (x-1) \text{H}_2 \text{(g)} + \text{Li}_x\text{NH}_{3-x} \text{(s)} + (3-x) \text{LiH} \text{(s)} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{At higher temperatures: } 2\text{LiH} \text{(s)} + \text{LiNH}_2 \text{(s)} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \text{(g)} + \text{Li}_3\text{N} \text{(s)} \quad (8)
\]

From a detailed analysis of high-resolution synchrotron x-ray diffraction data for the lithium amide (\( \text{LiNH}_2 \)) - lithium imide (\( \text{Li}_2\text{NH} \)) hydrogen storage system (David et al., 2007), the authors were able to propose an alternative mechanism that does not need to have the materials mechanically milled to enhance mixing, as previously recognized by Chen and collaborators (Chen et al., 2003) as essential. The mechanism they propose for the transformation between lithium amide and lithium imide during hydrogen cycling is a bulk reversible reaction that occurs in a non-stoichiometric manner within the cubic anti-fluorite-like \( \text{Li-N-H} \) structure, based on both \( \text{Li}^+ \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) mobility within the cubic lithium imide.

Concluding that increasing the \( \text{Li}^+ \) mobility and/or disorder it is likely to improve the hydrogen cycling in this and related Li-based systems. Recently, further systematical evaluation of the decompositions of \( \text{LiNH}_2 \) and \( \text{Li}_2\text{NH} \) was carried out by Zhang and Hu (Zhang & Hu, 2011), who also examined the effect of Cl⁻ anion on the decomposition...
process. Cl\textsuperscript{−} is widely employed as a promoter to improve various catalysts. As a result, decomposition mechanisms were established. The decomposition of LiNH\textsubscript{2} producing Li\textsubscript{2}NH and NH\textsubscript{3} occurs in two steps at the temperature range of 573-723 K. LiNH\textsubscript{2} decomposes into a stable intermediate species (Li\textsubscript{1.5}NH\textsubscript{1.5}) and then into Li\textsubscript{2}NH. Furthermore, Li\textsubscript{2}NH is decomposed into Li, H\textsubscript{2}, and N\textsubscript{2} without formation of Li\textsubscript{3}N at the temperature range of 823-1023 K. The introduction of Cl\textsuperscript{−} can decrease the decomposition temperature of Li\textsubscript{2}NH by about 110 K.

Fig. 3. Crystal structure of lithium hydride obtained with Materials Design® software

1.5 Neutron techniques associated with hydrogen solid storage

Though some progress have been made, the state-of-art materials are still far from meeting the aimed targets for hydrogen solid storage material (Churchard et al., 2011). This huge task can be facilitated by employing state-of-the-art techniques like, computational first-principles calculations to evaluate the thermodynamic properties of the potential materials (Alapati et al., 2007; Siegel et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007). This allows a quick screen of a large number of potential candidates, searching for thermodynamically suitable ones (saving time and money). Once thermodynamic appropriate materials have been found other considerations such as structure and dynamics of the materials during hydrogenation/dehydrogenation will become crucial in order to understand the fundamental properties of hydrogen storage, in realistic conditions and hence design new hydrogen storage materials.

Neutron scattering techniques are highly suitable for structure and dynamics studies related to hydrogen in solids and bound on surfaces. The energy distribution of thermal neutrons is nearly ideal for the study of condensed matter in general because it is of the same order of magnitude as most molecular and lattice excitations and the de Broglie wavelengths of thermal neutrons match quite well with interatomic distances in most solids (Squires, 1978). Neutrons have some unique advantages over photons and electrons as scattering media which are of particular use for the analysis of hydrides. For these purposes the two most useful neutron scattering interactions are coherent elastic scattering for Neutron Diffraction (ND) and incoherent Inelastic Neutron Scattering (INS) to measure vibrational density of states. The distinction of coherent and incoherent scattering interactions is important to the unique advantages offered by ND and INS respectively. This is because the relative scattering intensity of a given interaction is dependent highly upon the nucleus involved, and as such is isotope dependant. Each isotope has a different scattering cross section for both coherent (\(\sigma_{\text{coh}}\))
and incoherent ($\sigma_{\text{inc}}$) interactions measured in barns (1 barn = $10^{-28}$ m$^2$). In general these scattering cross sections do not follow any specific trend regarding nucleus size.

INS has numerous advantages to other common techniques of obtaining vibrational spectra such as infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopy. INS spectroscopy is hyper sensitive to the presence of hydrogen. The protium (¹H) nucleus has respective scattering cross sections of $\sigma_{\text{coh}} = 1.8$ and $\sigma_{\text{inc}} = 80.2$ barns respectively. This means neutron scattering in materials containing natural abundance hydrogen is largely inelastic. Additionally, the incoherent cross section of ¹H is one to two orders of magnitude higher than any other isotope (Ross, 2008). This means that in hydrides INS spectra are dominated by vibrational modes of hydrogen almost exclusively. This hyper sensitivity to hydrogen means that hydride phases are detectible even when present in relatively miniscule concentration. Another advantage of INS is the complete absence of selection rules for the excitation of vibrational modes. Lattice modes (i.e. phonons) are excited with equal opportunity to molecular vibrations. Because both IR and Raman spectroscopy rely upon different types of charge symmetry interactions, many materials have vibrational modes that cannot be excited by Raman or IR. In particular lattice modes are far more easily observable in INS spectra than any other type of vibrational spectroscopy. INS is also more useful for comparison with ab initio calculated density of states because relative excitation amplitudes are simply dependent upon the magnitude of motion and $\sigma_{\text{inc}}$ of the excited nucleus (Squires, 1978; Ross, 2008). Free software, such as a-Climax is available to generate a theoretical INS spectrum from the density of states output files from numerous common ab initio packages such as Gaussian, Abinit and Dmol (Ramirez, 2004).

For these reasons INS is extremely useful in identifying the presence of different hydride phases which may not be structurally apparent (for example, due to structural disorder). A good example is the INS study of Schimmel et al. on MgH$_2$ produced from Mg processed by high energy ball milling. Ball milling of Mg to reduce particle size, and introduce fractures, defects, and faults has a beneficial effect of increasing hydride formation rate, and reducing the temperature required for absorption. Comparison of the INS spectra of the MgH$_2$ produced from ball milled Mg with well-ordered MgH$_2$ revealed a partial composition of $\gamma$-MgH$_2$, which is metastable and normally exists only at high temperatures (Schimmel et al, 2005). Presence of $\gamma$-MgH$_2$ was indicative of internal stress from mechanical processing. However after hydrogen sorption cycling, $\gamma$-MgH$_2$ was no longer observable in the INS spectrum of the ball milled material, while the fast kinetics and lower sorption temperature remained. In this way INS was indispensable in revealing that the particle size reduction is more significant in the role of lowering temperature and increasing sorption kinetics than the creation of faults and internal stresses after the high energy ball milling of Mg (Schimmel, 2005; Ross, 2008).

Neutron diffraction also provides some unique advantages versus more conventional diffraction methods such as X-ray diffraction (XRD). Elastic neutron and X-ray scattering are similar in that both result in interference patterns according to Bragg scattering conditions (Squires, 1978). In XRD the intensity of a given Bragg reflection varies with the atomic number (Z) of the atom at the lattice site. This means that the exact position of hydrogen in a structure is practically impossible to determine with XRD. In ND the relative intensities of reflections are independent of Z, and instead depend on the coherent scattering cross section ($\sigma_{\text{coh}}$). This means that deuterium (²H; $\sigma_{\text{coh}} = 5.6$, $\sigma_{\text{inc}} = 2.0$) is just as readily observable as
most metal atoms. This allows for the observation of hydride phase transitions which differ only by the hydrogen occupation sites, such as in interstitial hydrides. ND also allows metals with similar Z values such as Ni (Z=28, \(\sigma_{coh} = 13.4\)) and Cu (Z=29, \(\sigma_{coh} = 7.5\)) to be easily distinguished, unlike in XRD. A great deal of caution must be taken to ensure that \(^1\)H is not displaced by \(^2\)H during sample preparation and handling, as the large \(\sigma_{inc}\) of \(^1\)H will create a substantial background signal. Another advantage of ND is that intensity does not diminish greatly with scattering angle as it does in XRD (Massa, 2004). Beyond these differences, crystal structure determination techniques are very similar for ND and XRD. Common approaches include a combination of a structure solution method and the Rietveld refinement method.

ND and INS carry some common advantages and disadvantages intrinsic with the use of neutrons as a scattering medium. Common advantages are associated with the highly penetrating quality of neutron radiation through most materials. This provides some possibilities for variable depth of measurements. If the neutron beam is directed at a relatively thin portion of the sample, a greater quantity of surface and shallow depth material is surveyed, whereas in relatively thick segments predominantly material deep within the sample is surveyed. The high penetration of neutrons also allows for relatively clear in-situ measurements in a wide range of sample environments such as high pressure gas cells, furnaces, cryogenic refrigerators, anvil cells and other environments requiring obtrusive equipment. This allows for detailed structure and dynamics studies of metastable hydride phases, and phase transitions which occur only in extreme conditions.

There are numerous inconveniences associated with neutrons as well. The most prevalent and obvious is the relative scarcity and cost of neutron sources, which typically take two forms: a research reactor or a spallation source (fed by a high energy proton accelerator). Another drawback is the time required to conduct a measurement, which can range from several hours to several days (per measurement). This is due to the short range of nuclear forces and relatively low probability of a scattering event, which is the same reason neutron radiation penetrates so effectively. Because of the long measurement time and high operational cost beam time is allocated very carefully at neutron sources, and flight paths are rarely left idle during neutron production. ND and INS require larger sample sizes, often multiple grams, to increase the scattering rate.

2. Hydrides of Cu and Mg intermetallic systems

We have studied the Cu-Li-Mg system as a hydrogen storage system and, at the same time, as a catalyst of the hydrogen storage process, namely for the Ti/TiH\(_2\) system (Braga & Malheiros, 2007a, 2007b; Braga et al., 2010a, 2010b). The only ternary compound the Cu-Li-Mg system holds is CuLi\(_x\)Mg\(_{2-x}\) (\(x = 0.08\)) with hexagonal P6\(_22\) structure (Braga et al., 2010c). Since the phase diagrams of Cu-Mg and Ni-Mg are similar (see Fig. 4), and Cu and Ni have similar electron affinities, it was thought in the sixties that CuMg\(_2\) would store hydrogen, too.

However this is not the case (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967). NiMg\(_2\) has a hexagonal structure (P6\(_22\)), but CuMg\(_2\) has an orthorhombic structure (Fddd), and this structural difference is assumed to be the reason that NiMg\(_2\) stores H\(_2\) forming a hydride, but CuMg\(_2\) does not. CuMg\(_2\) decomposes into Cu\(_2\)Mg and MgH\(_2\) (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967) upon hydrogen loading.
as previously referred (4). As a result of this reaction and since CuMg$_2$ does not form a hydride, CuMg$_2$ was abandoned as a candidate material for hydrogen storage (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967; Schlapbach & Züttel, 2001) until the late destabilization studies that were previously cited. The hexagonal structure of CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$ suggested the possibility of using this phase as a hydrogen storage material (Braga & Malheiros, 2007a, 2007b) because CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$ has the same space group (P6$_2$22) as NiMg$_2$ and NiMg$_2$(H,D)$_{0.3}$ (lattice parameters are almost identical: a = b = 5.250 Å and c = 13.621 Å (at 300 K) for CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$ and a = b = 5.256 Å and c = 13.435 Å for NiMg$_2$(H,D)$_{0.3}$ (Senegas et al., 1984)). Therefore, we hypothesized that CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$ (x = 0.08) would be a hydrogen storage material, just like NiMg$_2$ - a hypothesis that has been confirmed by now (Braga & Malheiros, 2007a, 2007b; Braga et al., 2010a).

The change of the CuMg$_2$ orthorhombic (Fddd) structure to a hexagonal structure (P6$_2$22) upon addition of a small amount of Li has been firmly established (Braga et al., 2007). Isostructural phases to CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$ are the hexagonal phase NiMg$_2$ and NiMg$_2$H$_{0.24-0.30}$ (Senegas et al., 1984). For the NiMg$_2$-hydrides, several hydrogen positions were reported: In NiMg$_2$H$_{0.29}$ the hydrogen atoms occupy Wyckoff 6f positions and could occupy the interstitial Wyckoff 6h position (Senegas et al., 1984). Other possibilities would be that the H atoms would just occupy interstitial Wyckoff 12k position (in NiMg$_2$H$_{0.26}$) or the Wyckoff 12k and 6j positions in NiMg$_2$H$_{0.24}$ (Senegas et al., 1984). This suggests a number of possible sites for Li in CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$.

Interestingly V. Hlukhyy and collaborators (Hlukhyy et al., 2005) have reported a result closely related to our observations in the Sn-doped Ni-Mg system. These authors show that the synthesis of alloys in the Ni-Mg system is affected by the presence of small amounts of Sn (forming NiMg$_2$Sn$_x$ with x = 0.22 and 0.40). The replacement of Mg by Sn produces changes in the structure of NiMg$_2$, this time making the alloy change from the NiMg$_2$ type (hexagonal) to the CuMg$_2$ type (orthorhombic). While the structure of NiMg$_{1.88}$Sn$_{0.15}$ is still of NiMg$_2$ type, the structure of NiMg$_{1.78}$Sn$_{0.22}$ and NiMg$_{1.60}$Sn$_{0.40}$ is already of the CuMg$_2$ type. These results represent obviously the converse of our own observations in the CuMg$_2$ structure, and reaffirm our results with respect to CuLi$_{x}$Mg$_{2-x}$. 

Fig. 4. Phase diagrams of Ni-Mg and Cu-Mg (Ansara et al., 1998)
2.1 The CuLi<sub>0.08</sub>Mg<sub>1.92</sub> compound

Fig. 5. a) Neutron diffraction pattern of a sample containing CuLi<sub>0.08</sub>Mg<sub>1.92</sub>, CuMg<sub>2</sub> and CuMg. The highlighted peak corresponds to the (101) reflection for the CuLi<sub>0.08</sub>Mg<sub>1.92</sub> compound which is not overlapped by other phases. b) Pair Distribution Function (PDF) fitting for the same conditions of the pattern in a).

We have used neutron diffraction to refine the composition of CuLi<sub>x</sub>Mg<sub>2x</sub>, site occupancies and lattice parameters at different temperatures. In Fig. 5, results from the Time-of-flight (TOF) Neutron Powder Diffractometer (NPDF) at the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE) are shown. It was analyzed a sample containing 37.5 at.% of CuLi<sub>0.08</sub>Mg<sub>1.92</sub>, 45.1 at.% of CuMg<sub>2</sub> and 17.4 at.% of Cu<sub>2</sub>Mg. The structure was refined using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS), a Rietveld profile analysis program developed by A. C. Larson and R. B. von Dreele (Larson & von Dreele, 2004).

Furthermore, we’ve fitted the NPDF data using the Pair Distribution Function (PDF) in which G(r) was obtained via the Fourier Transform of the total diffraction pattern as indicated below:

\[ G(r) = 4\pi r \rho(r) - \rho_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty Q[S(Q) - 1] \sin(Qr) dQ \]  

(9)

where \( \rho(r) \) is the microscopic pair density, \( \rho_0 \) is the average atomic number density, and \( r \) the radial distance. \( Q \) is the momentum transfer (\( Q = 4\pi \sin(\theta) / \lambda \)). \( S(Q) \) is the normalized structure function determined from the experimental diffraction intensity (Egami & Billinge, 2003). PDF yields the probability of finding pairs of atoms separated by a distance \( r \). PDF fittings were performed using the software PDFgui (Farrow et al., 2007).

Besides Neutron Diffraction, we have used theoretical complementary methods to determine the stoichiometry of the CuLi<sub>x</sub>Mg<sub>2x</sub> compound. We relied on the Density Functional Theory (DFT) (Hohenberg & Kohn, 1964) to calculate the structure that minimized the Electronic Energy at 0 K, without accounting for the zero point energy. The latter energy gives us a good estimation of the Enthalpy of Formation at 0 K especially since we were relating data for stoichiometries that did not differ too much and for similar crystal structures. The results
obtained are in close agreement with those obtained from ND after Rietveld refinement \( \text{CuLi}_x\text{Mg}_{2-x} \) \( x = 0.08 \). Nonetheless, no conclusions about Li site occupancies could be drawn from the use of the referred means. DFT shows that there isn’t a clear preference, in terms of energy, for the different Li site occupancies. Then again, a technique that gives information about the average site occupancies - like the Rietveld refinement - is also inadequate to clarify this problem; therefore we have used PDF to determine Li preferred sites. With PDF fittings we were allowed to go further (see Fig. 5b). PDF does not see the average but the local structure and with PDF, all results but those in which Li would substitute Mg1 sites \( (1/2, 0, z) \), gave negative occupancies for Li. For Li substituting Mg1 we’ve obtained an average composition for \( \text{CuLi}_x\text{Mg}_{2-x} \) \( x = 0.07 \) which is in agreement with the other obtained results. For further information please see (Braga et al., 2010c).

2.2 Hydrogen storage in the Cu-Li-Mg-H(D) system

To study the hydrogen storage in the Cu-Li-Mg system several techniques were used (Braga et al., 2010a). Besides absorption/desorption, Differential Scanning Calorimetry, Thermal Gravimetry Analysis (DSC/TGA), X-ray Diffraction (XRD) both at the laboratory and at the Synchrotron, we have used Neutron Diffraction and Inelastic Neutron Scattering. Owing to the low X-ray scattering power of hydrogen, neutron diffraction experiments on deuterides are necessary as previously highlighted in section 1.5.

Most atomic arrangements were determined on powders of different samples yet we have also used a bulk sample machined into a cylinder to obtain ND data in both the surface and the center of the sample during deuterium uptake.

The data were usually analyzed by the Rietveld method, yet in some cases in which the background was noisier we have used the biased method (Larson & von Dreese, 2004). For better convergence, the number of refined parameters in particular those of the atomic displacement amplitudes are reduced by constraints.

ND results obtained from the High-Intensity Powder Diffractometer HIPD at LANSCE, Los Alamos National Laboratory, for a sample initially containing 78 wt.% \( \text{CuLi}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{1.92} + 22 \) wt.%\( \text{Cu}_2\text{Mg} \) (from here on “initially containing” means before hydrogen/deuterium absorption) and that was deuterated \( \text{ex situ} \) at 473 K at \( P \leq 50 \) atm in order to determine the crystal structure of the first deuteride phase formed in the sample (see Fig. 6 left). This pattern was refined using Rietveld’s method.

The \( \text{CuLi}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{1.92}\text{D}_5 \) crystal structure was determined to be monoclinic \( \text{P12}_1 \), with \( a = 15.14 \) Å, \( b = 6.88 \) Å, \( c = 5.55 \) Å and \( \beta = 91.73^\circ \) according to the formula \( \text{CuLi}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{1.92}\text{D}_5 = 0.5(\text{Mg}_2^{2+}\cdot[\text{CuH}_4]^{2+}\cdot\text{MgD}_2) \) corresponding to 4.4 wt% D per formula unit. \( \text{CuLi}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{1.92}\text{D}_5 \) is the first deuteride/hydride to be formed. This result is interesting by itself, but the presence of MgD2 in the diffraction pattern, highlights even further the possibilities of applications of this compound. According to these results, it can be obtained MgH(H)\( \text{D}_2 \) from a sample that did not contain “free” Mg or CuMg2. Furthermore, the deuteration process occurred at 473 K, which is considerably lower than the hydrogen absorption temperature reported for CuMg2 (4) (Reilly & Wiswall, 1967).

The experiments with the bulk sample at SMARTS, LANSCE, Los Alamos National Laboratory, show that before MgD2 is observed, \( \text{CuLi}_{0.08}\text{Mg}_{1.92}\text{D}_5 \) is already distinguishable at the surface even in a sample that initially contained CuMg2 (see Fig. 7). Therefore, it
Fig. 6. (left) Rietveld refinement of a sample containing CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$, Cu$_2$Mg, MgD$_2$ and CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$D$_5$ obtained in HIPD. wRp and Rp are the reliability factors as defined in (Larson & von Dreele, 2004). (right) ND pattern of the center of a bulk cylinder sample containing CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$, Cu$_2$Mg, CuMg$_2$ obtained from the Spectrometer for Materials Research at Temperature and Stress SMARTS during an in situ reaction with D$_2$ at 523 K and ~34 atm. Both patterns show experimental, refined and difference between experimental and calculated intensities.

Fig. 7. (left and right) ND pattern of the surface of a bulk cylinder sample initially containing CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$, Cu$_2$Mg, and CuMg$_2$ obtained in SMARTS during an in situ reaction with D$_2$ at 523 K and ~34 atm. (right) it is highlighted that MgD$_2$ cannot justify some existing peaks. Both patterns show experimental, refined and difference between experimental and calculated intensities.

seems that CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$D$_5$ will have a catalytic and destabilizing role that was additionally observed with the Ti/TiH$_2$ systems (Braga et al., 2010b).
Fig. 8. ND refined pattern of the center and surface of a bulk cylinder sample initially containing CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$, Cu$_2$Mg, and CuMg$_2$ obtained in SMARTS during an \textit{in situ} reaction with D$_2$ at 523 K and \texttilde{}34 atm. Besides the texture effect that might be present in a bulk sample, it seems that the center initially contained more CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$ than the surface.

Experimental information about the metal–hydrogen interactions can be obtained by measuring lattice vibrations via INS, as previously highlighted in section 1.5. Because of the large difference between the masses of metal and H atoms in transition-metal–hydrogen systems, the acoustic dispersion branches of the phonon spectra can be attributed to the motion of the metal atoms, the optic branches to the vibrations of the light H atoms relative to the metal lattice. The densities of states of optic phonons typically show a pronounced maximum at the energy of the lattice vibrations at the $\Gamma$ point in the centre of the phonon Brillouin zone ($q = 0$) e.g. in (Fukai, 1993). These phonon modes describe the vibration of the undistorted H sublattice relative to the rigid metal sublattice. Hence, they contain the metal–hydrogen interaction only. This is usually stronger than the H–H interaction, which leads to the dispersion of the optic branches. In the limit of very low H concentrations, the H vibrations can be imagined as independent vibrations of local Einstein oscillators at interstitial H sites. For both the lattice vibrations at the $\Gamma$ point and the local vibrations, one can observe transitions from the ground state, the quantum-mechanical zero-point vibration of the H atoms, to the first excited states, e.g. by measuring optic phonon excitations, and transitions to higher excited states. Their energies, intensities and symmetry splittings yield an insight into the shapes of the potential and the wavefunctions for the vibrations of the light particles (Elsasser et al., 1998).

We have measured samples of the Cu-Li-Mg-H system by means of INS measured with the Filter Difference Spectrometer FDS, at LANSE, Los Alamos National Laboratory. There is no doubt about the sequence of events; first there is the formation of CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$H$_5$ (see Fig. 9a) and then in subsequent cycles the formation of MgH$_2$ (see Fig. 9b), either for disproportionation of CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$H$_5$ or from hydrogenation of CuMg$_2$.

DSC/TGA experiments show that CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$H$_5$ starts desorbing hydrogen at 313 K to 328 K. In this range of temperatures the sample can release up to 1.3 wt.% (results for a isothermal experiment with a sample initially containing approximately 78 wt.% of CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$ and 22 wt.% of Cu$_2$Mg - which does not absorb hydrogen at the temperature and pressure that were used). In Fig. 10 it can be seen that 0.5 wt.% of a sample initially containing 61 wt.%
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Fig. 9. a) INS spectra for NiMg\(\text{H}_2\)\(\text{H}_4\) (1st and 2nd hydrogenation cycles) and for two samples containing CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\) (close circles below correspond to a sample that also contained Cu\(\text{Mg}\) and the open circles above correspond to sample that contained Cu\(\text{Mg}\) and CuMg as well). All samples show the formation of a similar monoclinic structure. As in NiMg\(\text{H}_2\)\(\text{H}_4\), in which Ni is bonded to four atoms of H forming the tetrahedral complex [NiH\(\text{H}_4\)]\(^4\), Cu is also bonded to four atoms of H forming the tetrahedral complex [CuH\(\text{H}_4\)]\(^3\), which was previously referred on (Yvon & Renaudin, 2005). b) Sample initially containing approximately 61 wt.% of CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\), 23 wt.% of CuMg\(2\) and 16 wt.% of Cu\(\text{Mg}\) after the 3rd hydrogenation cycle at 473 K, and ~50 atm. It is clear the formation of MgH\(2\) with a peak at ~620 cm\(^{-1}\).
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Fig. 10. TGA of two samples initially containing approximately 61 wt.% of CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\), 23 wt.% of CuMg\(2\) and 16 wt.% of Cu\(\text{Mg}\). Samples were measured after hydrogenation but they are not expected to be saturated in hydrogen prior to the experiment. of CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\), 23 wt.% of CuMg\(2\) and 16 wt.% of Cu\(\text{Mg}\) can be released at T < 350 K. In spite of the fact that there was some visible oxidation during this run, we think it was worth showing this initial desorption. This initial desorption seems to be due to CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\)H\(5\). At ~473 K, hydrogen starts to be desorbed at a different rate, probably due to the disproportionation of CuLi\(0.08\)Mg\(1.92\)H\(5\), with the formation of MgH\(2\), which will start releasing hydrogen at 553-573 K. Additionally, MgH\(2\) can be formed upon hydrogenation of CuMg\(2\).
The DSC/TGA results show that the system containing CuLi$_{0.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$ and Cu$_2$Mg can destabilize MgH$_2$ in a more efficient way than Cu$_2$Mg by itself can. In fact, in a DSC experiment in which kinetics must be accounted for, MgH$_2$ will release hydrogen at 553-573 K, which can only be obtained when particles are reduced to nanopowders.

2.3 Hydrogen storage in the Cu-Li-Mg-H(D)+Ti system

A sample with 60.5 at% of CuLi$_{10.08}$Mg$_{1.92}$, 23.9 at% of CuMg$_2$ and 15.6 at% of Cu$_2$Mg was mechanically alloyed to titanium resulting into Cu-Li-Mg+Ti samples. The brittle CuLiMg alloy was mixed with Ti (99.9% purity, 325 mesh, Alfa Aesar) so that 68.2 at% / 47.3 wt% of the final mixture was Ti. The mixture was ball-milled for 3 h in a dry box under a He protective atmosphere. The Cu-Li-Mg+Ti mixture was then sealed inside a stainless steel crucible and kept at 473 K for 9h under D$_2$ at P = 34 bar. These samples were then cooled to 5 K (HIPD, neutron powder diffraction) over a period of 2 to 3 hours.
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Fig. 11. Comparison of Cu-Li-Mg+Ti-D neutron diffraction pattern refinements from measurements taken at T= 100K, 200K, and 300K.
Cu-Li-Mg+Ti-D neutron diffraction samples were quenched and measured at the lowest temperature, 5K, first with 60K, 100K, 200K and 300K measurements taken subsequently. For diffraction patterns collected at 5K – 100K there is no evidence of any titanium deuteride phases. This is immediately obvious in Fig. 11 which shows a comparison of the 100, 200 and 300 K Cu-Li-Mg+Ti-D. Bragg peaks belonging to tetragonal TiD₂ begin to appear in the 200K pattern of the Cu-Li-Mg+Ti-D sample. The refinement at 100 K includes the 5 phases: CuMg₂, Cu₂Mg, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₉₂, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₀₂D₅ and α-Ti; at 200 K: CuMg₂, Cu₂Mg, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₉₂, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₉₂D₅ and MgD₂ plus tetragonal TiD₂ and at 300 K: CuMg₂, Cu₂Mg, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₉₂, CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₀₂D₅ plus cubic TiD₂ and represents an excellent fit to the data as confirmed by the residual. At 300K a structural phase transition (tetragonal to cubic) occurs in TiD₂ (Yakel, 1958). The appearance of the TiD₂ cubic phase in the 300K diffraction pattern is confirmed by refinement as shown in Fig. 11.

Fig. 11 shows the changes in the Cu-Li-Mg+Ti-D sample as the temperature is increased from 100K to 300K: The CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₉₂D₅, MgD₂ and α-Ti phases are progressively reduced in intensity while TiD₂ appears at 200K transforming from tetragonal to cubic at 300K. Since there was no further exposure of the sample to deuterium, the formation of TiD₂ must have been facilitated through solid state diffusion of deuterium from a separate phase. The decrease in intensity of Bragg peaks belonging to the Cu-Li-Mg-D phase implicates that the mechanism involves solid state transfer of deuterium from Cu-Li-Mg-D to Ti.

DSC/TGA measurements show the dehydrogenation of CuLi₀.₀₈Mg₀.₀₂H₅ accounts for approximately third of the total mass loss. Given that no other hydride phases were present in any significant quantity, and that MgH₂ began dehydrogenation at 553 K in the Cu-Li-Mg-H samples, the mass loss beginning at 590 K is due to the release of hydrogen from TiH₂. This demonstrates a significant catalytic effect for desorption as well given that TiH₂ ordinarily does not dissociate until well above 723 K (Gibb & Kruschwitz, 1950). For further information please check (Braga et al., 2010b).

3. Conclusion
The hydrogen storage world still offers a considerable amount of challenges since no universal solution has been found. Eventually, different solutions will be proposed to suite different applications.

The Cu-Li-Mg system provides other possibilities for catalytic and destabilization effects yet not fully explored.

There are several techniques that can be employed to study systems containing hydrogen. Nonetheless, Neutron Scattering is a very useful resource, in particular, Neutron Diffraction. In the latter, crystal structure of deuteride phases are directly studied since deuterium can be detected by ND and accurate results can be obtained either in ex situ or in situ experiments as shown previously.
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