



16th International Sustainability Transitions (IST) Conference

IST'25

BOOK OF ABSTRACTS



The WEF nexus: data-driven decision making to align resource governance with reality by Garth Malan	710
From Telegraphs to Telephones: Tracing the Sociotechnical Transition in Telecommunications from 1846 to 1980 by David Foord, Daniel Rosenbloom & Cameron Roberts	712
Off-grid clean energy deployment in the Global South: Addressing power imbalances by moving beyond a top-down approach by Alicja Dankowska	714
Monchique's Social Innovation Laboratory - a space for dialogue and knowledge sharing to foster community-based disaster risk reduction in fire-prone areas by Joana Dias, Guilherme Saad, Ana Soares, Maria Partidário, Isabel Loupa Ramos, Rute Martins & Margarida Monteiro	716
Socio-Technical Reconfigurations and their Spatial Trade-offs in Norwegian Aquaculture Transitions by Sofia Aalbu, Markus Steen, Teis Hansen & Christian Binz	718
Capturing discourse dynamics in the mobility transition – analysing tradeoffs between methods based on three novel datasets by Aline Scherrer	720
The role of informal learning in community health system transitions: co-creation of a social model of well-being and health by Gianna Marsman	722
How Mission-Oriented Innovation Policy (MOIP) is translated, adapted and implemented across countries: a comparative case study by Daniel Gaitán-Cremaschi, Simon Fielke, Matthijs Janssen, Kris Kok, Anders Melås, James Turner & Laurens Klerkx	724
Incumbents in transition: Competence needs and strategic responses in the Norwegian offshore industry by Jon Furholt, Katarina Hodal & Håkon Endresen Normann	726
Investigating the spread of the Rights of Nature narrative into EU policy discourse by Genevieve Allen, Kirill Orach & Maja Schlüter	728
Achieving Experimental Governance Through Process Learning by Andrew Karvonen	731
The conflict between 'culture war' contrarianism and sustainability transitions in the age of right wing populism by Fred Steward	732
Transition management 3.0; Transition ateliers and the KIN method by Derk Loorbach	734
Do stakeholders' values converge with the dominant food system paradigm? An empirical examination of values for transformative change in the Netherlands by Adrian Rinscheid, Patrick Huntjens & Noelle Aarts	736
Electrification for net-zero: Tracing the emergence of a new meta-rule by Jochen Markard, Daniel Rosenbloom & Laur Kanger	738
Using the heterogeneity of offshore wind diffusion in Europe to assess its feasible expansion by Tsimafei Kazlou, Lola Nacke, Jessica Jewell, Aleh Cherp, Anastasia Pavlenko & Vadim Vinichenko	740
Exploring the emergence of multi-system complexes: the case of carbon management by Caroline Veldhuizen & Allan Dahl Andersen	742
Systemic complementarities and transformative change: A tentative methodology to examine bidirectionality effects across connected systems by Muriela Padua & Margarida Fontes	744

Systemic complementarities and transformative change: A tentative methodology to examine bidirectionality effects across connected systems

Muriela Padua^{1,2}, Margarida Fontes³

¹DINAMIA^{CET-ISCTE}, Lisbon, Portugal. ²CEGOT, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal. ³LNEG - Laboratório Nacional de Energia e Geologia, Lisboa, Portugal, Lisbon, Portugal

Achieving energy-sustainable goals involves large-scale production and social changes necessary to fulfil societal functions (Geels, 2004; Markard, 2011). These long-term goals imply a transformative change requiring multiple transitions involving multiple socio-technical systems and their interplay (Raven and Verbong, 2007; Geels, 2007; Papachristos, 2013; Rosenbloom, 2020). To fully address system change, it is necessary to consider that changes in one system affect the other (Geels, 2007; Papachristos, 2013), i.e., the presence of bidirectionality in system interactions. However, bidirectionality has only recently started being tackled and remains under-conceptualized.

Unravelling the complex interrelationships that underlie systems change has attracted attention from different streams of the literature. The technological innovation systems (TIS) approach argues that a new system needs to establish connections with the context from which it emerges to gain access to key actors and resources and proposes the notion of structural couplings linking “context structures” with the new system (Bergek et al., 2015; Markard, 2020). While these links are assumed to be bidirectional, the goal has been to understand their effects on the emerging system, and thus the focus has primarily been on one-directional change (Ulmanen & Bergek, 2021). Also missing is a process view, e.g., conceptualising how these interactions occur. This limits our ability to understand the change processes resulting from the interactions between the systems involved (Rosenbloom, 2020; Andersen & Geels, 2023; Breitschopf et al., 2023). In particular, there is limited understanding of the potentially transformative effects in the existing systems with which the new system connects (Andersen et al., 2020; Fontes et al., 2021).

Nevertheless, the notion of overlaps or “structural couplings” between an emerging system and other connected systems still appears to be a productive route to investigate how bidirectional effects take place in this case. For this, it is helpful to bring in the concept of complementarities between socio-technical systems (Markard & Hoffman, 2016; Mäkitie et al., 2022; Leitch, 2019). However, there is still a gap to be addressed, as overlaps do not automatically lead to interactions, so the problem of system failure in setting up complementarities is raised (Leitch, 2019). This leads us to two research questions:

- How do complementarities enable the construction of interactions between systems?
- To what extent do complementarities support transformative effects in emerging systems and in established ones?

To deal with these questions, we build on and extend the TIS approach (Bergek et al., 2015). The fact that “context structures” is a very abstract concept makes it difficult to examine bidirectional flows.

Drawing on the literature on multi-system interactions, we propose that the emerging “focal system” effectively connects with a set of other systems, and, therefore, structural couplings exist with elements of these systems. We are looking at system/system interactions. Considering that structural couplings imply shared elements, namely shared actors and resources, we build on the premise that complementarities are formed through these interactions between systems (Markard & Hoffman, 2016; Leitch, 2019), leading to a “shared space”.

However, shared resources formed in the “shared space” need to be “contextualized” by each system, in order to be used by them. We call this process transformation of resources that “by definition are anything useful or available to achieve a goal” (Shostak & Dmitry, 2019) into assets that are defined as “anything owned or controlled that has economic value (Shostak & Dmitry, 2019), and thus are resources that can be appropriated. This process of “contextualization” (Teece, 2010) is conducted through feedback mechanisms (Mäkitie et al., 2022) that enable adjustments (Forrester, 1971) in both the emerging and the existing systems. These transformative effects can be more or less broad, affecting a few or several elements of a system, and one or various systems simultaneously.

This study proposes a methodology—centred around the notions of “shared space,” systemic complementarities, and the contextualization of resources (e.g., their transformation into assets)—that enables an examination of the processes supporting the bidirectionality of flows across connected systems and, tentatively, their transformative effects